

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21764-2018(O&M)

Date of decision:07.02.2019

Kamlesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. M.N.Jajoria, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

CRM-23990-2018

Prayer in this application is for impleading Naresh Chander Gupta as respondent No.2 and further prayer to place on record the relevant documents which are required for the just and fair decision of the criminal miscellaneous petition.

Notice in the application to the opposite counsel.

Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/petitioner, Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of non-applicant/respondent No.1-State and they have no objection, if the application is allowed.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Naresh Chander Gupta is impleaded as party-respondent No.2 and the relevant documents which are required for the just and fair decision of the criminal miscellaneous petition are taken on record.

CRM-4404-2019

Application is allowed. Reply along with Annexures R-1 to R-4 is taken on record.

CRM-M-21764-2018(O&M)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.173 dated 03.05.2018 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Status report by Joginder Sharma, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tohana, District Fatehabad, on behalf of the respondent has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

Pursuant to order dated 19.11.2018, neither the complainant has been able to produce the original share certificate showing his membership of the Society nor has the Assistant Registrar produced the original record. Rather it is stated by learned State counsel that the original record is untraceable.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the interim direction granted vide order dated 21.05.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the fact regarding petitioner's joining the investigation.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 21.05.2018 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

07.02.2019

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No