

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7236-2019

Date of decision: 18.03.2019

Jai Parkash and another

.. Petitioners

vs.

The State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present:- Mr. Gurpreet Jayia, Advocate for
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

--

Jitendra Chauhan, J.(Oral)

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat to take action against respondent No.5-Dharambir Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Katlupur, Block Rai, District Sonipat as he has embezzled the panchayat funds.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat, to consider and decide the representation dated 03.08.2018 (Annexure P-30/T) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat, to consider and decide the representation dated 3.8.2018 (Annexure P-30/T) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent

authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

18.03.2019
dinesh

(Jitendra Chauhan)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No