

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

219

CRM-M-20920-2018 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 10.07.2019

PUNEET GOEL AND ORS.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. L. S. Chahal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Y. D. Kaushik, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.430 dated 21.04.2018, under Sections 323, 506 IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad.

The petition qua petitioner No.3 had been dismissed as not pressed on 15.11.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that allegations in the FIR are that at the behest of the petitioners, the co-accused had taken out his revolver and pulled the trigger but the shot did not fire. The co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail by the coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-36460-2018 on 15.11.2018. He also contends that the prosecution story is improbable and petitioners No.1 and 2 have been falsely implicated on account of monetary dispute.

A coordinate Bench of this Court, by the order dated 17.05.2018, had directed petitioners No.1 and 2 to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mukesh Kumar, states that the petitioners No.1 and 2 are in custody in another case and had appeared before the investigating officer under production warrant and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners and keeping in view the fact that the petitioners No.1 and 2 have joined investigation, the order dated 17.05.2018 granting interim bail to petitioners No.1 and 2 is made absolute.

However, petitioner No.1 and 2 shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 10, 2019
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No