IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6490-2019
Date of decision:11.3.2019

Rajesh Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Rakesh Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

****

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to complete the regular enquiry pending against respondent No.6 and remove him from the post of Sarpanch.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2- Deputy Commissioner, Ambala to consider and decide the legal notice dated 26.7.2018 (Annexure P-5) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Deputy Commissioner, Ambala to consider and decide the legal notice

GAURI SHANKER
2019.03.11 17:29
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

dated 26.7.2018 (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

11.3.2019 **[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]**
gsv **JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned? **Yes / No**

Whether reportable? **Yes / No**

GAURI SHANKER
2019.03.11 17:29
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document