

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-11937 of 2019
Date of Decision : 29.04.2019**

Mahavir Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Mani Ram Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Nipun Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Arshdeep Singh Sra, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.50 dated 11.02.2019, under Sections 380, 448, 458 and 506 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is an outcome of a dispute between the tenant and landlord, which has now been resolved. He further contends that vide order dated 26.03.2019 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant contends that the matter indeed has been compromised in between the parties.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Karnail Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

CRM No. M-11937 of 2019

-2-

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner has joined the investigation, interim order dated 26.03.2019 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

April 29, 2019

dinesh

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**