

CRM-M-11236-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11236-2019

Date of Decision: 19.03.2019

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Devender Nirban, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, AAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.265 dated 29.12.2017, registered at Police Station Kosli, District Rewari, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is not named in the FIR. The allegation against the present petitioner is that he alongwith co-accused conspired and they sold the land in question

CRM-M-11236-2019

owned by the complainant and mutation was got sanctioned on the basis of the forged documents.

The offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class. The petitioner has been in custody since 26.12.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case. Moreover, co-accused, Jagbir, has already been granted the benefit of regular bail vide order dated 11.02.2019 passed in CRM-M-5265-2019 by this Court.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

19.03.2019

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No