

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-20236-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 24.01.2019**

Mousumi Mishra

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for Mr. Munish Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.253 dated 20.04.2018, registered under Sections 354-D, 384, 468, 509 IPC and Sections 65 and 66-C of the Information Technology Act and Section 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act (in short 'the Act') (later on registered under Section 8 of the Act), at Police Station Suraj Kund, District Faridabad.

The minor son of the petitioner has been sending derogatory/humilating comments to her class mate, who is also a minor.

The petitioner has been arrayed as the mother of that child.

Learned State counsel has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation; nothing is to be recovered from her and she is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case,

I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to

the petitioner in the present case.

Resultantly, the interim order dated 14.05.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

24.01.2019
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No