

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9236 of 2000 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.08.2019

Neelam Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. I.D. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file a civil suit in respect of the grievances which is the subject matter of the instant writ petition.

2. Learned Sr. DAG, Haryana, states that he has no objection to the prayer made especially in view of disputed questions of fact being in issue.

3. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to avail the remedy before the Civil Court in accordance with law. In view of the petitioner having invoked the jurisdiction of this Court in July, 2000 challenging the appointment of respondent No.4 as was granted on 3.1.2000, no objection shall be taken as to limitation in case the civil suit is filed within six weeks from today.

4. Since the main case has already been disposed of, no separate orders are required to be passed in misc. applications.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition as well as civil misc. applications are disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

August 19, 2019

ps

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No

(B.S. WALIA)

JUDGE