

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

245/3

**CRM-M-10950-2019
DATE OF DECISION: 23.07.2019**

RANJIT SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. G.S. Kaura, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.549 dated 09.10.2017, under Section 379-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act (Sections 365, 201, 392, 397 and 120-B IPC added later on and Section 379-B deleted), registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that the petitioner along with the other co-accused had snatched Innova car from the complainant at pistol point. The complainant has not supported the prosecution case qua the petitioner in his deposition before the trial Court. The petitioner is 26 years of age. He is in custody for 1 year and 5 months.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Surinder Pal, states that 6 prosecution witnesses have been examined, 5 prosecution witnesses have been given up and 19 prosecution witnesses remained to be examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 1 year and 5 months, the complainant has not supported the prosecution case and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

23.07.2019

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No