

**288 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.10890 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 30.04.2019**

Gursev Singh & ors.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.185 dated 24.07.2017 registered under Sections 353/186/506/341/147/149 IPC at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala.

On 13.03.2019 the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that challan is likely to be presented and interim bail was granted upto presentation of challan.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, notice on behalf of the State has been accepted by Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab, who seeks time to verify the same.

Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Adjourned to 30.04.2019.

However, in case, the challan is presented and the petitioner appears before the trial Court at the time of presentation of challan, he shall be released on interim bail.”

Counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to the order of this Court the trial Court has granted bail to the petitioners.

Learned Senior DAG has accepted that the challan has been presented.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Resultantly, the petition is allowed. The interim order dated 13.03.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

30.04.2019
pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No