

219.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10588-2019

Date of decision:27.05.2019.

SUKHRAJ SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Balpreet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.2 dated 31.01.2019 registered under Sections 498-A, 406 of IPC at Police Station Women Cell, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to the order dated 08.03.2019 passed by this Court, petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant states that recovery of some dowry articles is yet to be effected from the petitioners.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulwinder Singh, does not dispute the aforesaid fact and states that the custodial

interrogation of the petitioners is no more required at this stage.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The contention of learned counsel for the complainant that recovery of some of the dowry articles is yet to be effected in the case, is no ground to deny bail to the petitioners.

The marriage being 10 years old and the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 08.03.2019 is made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioners shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

27.05.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No