

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

228

CRM-M-10626-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: October 15, 2019

RINKU SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.157 dated 25.12.2017, under Sections 21/29 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case on the basis of the statement of the co-accused.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Surjit Singh, states that recovery of 265 grams of heroin was effected from the car which belongs to the petitioner. He further states that 70 grams of heroin was recovered from co-accused Kiranjit Kaur, who was arrested at the spot.

It is mentioned in the FIR itself that the vehicle wherein boy and girl were travelling had been stopped by the police party and the boy is alleged to have run away while the girl was apprehended at the spot. The girl in her

statement to the police has also disclosed the name of the boy as Rinku Singh (petitioner). Thus the identity of the petitioner has also been prima facie established.

In view of the serious allegations of 265 grams of heroin being recovered from the car belonging to the petitioner and other prima facie evidence, I do not find it to be a fit case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 15, 2019

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No