

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232/A

CRM-M-10756-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 29.10.2019

RAVINDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.58 dated 15.07.2018, under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Mukandpur, District SBS Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that 14 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each and 14 injections of Avil containing 10 ml each have been recovered from the petitioner. He further contends that Avil does not fall under the NDPS Act. The petitioner is in custody for over a year. He is not involved in any other case. He has relied upon the judgments of the coordinate Benches of this Court in the case of Dalwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab passed in CRM-M-10753-2019 on 03.05.2019 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 15 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about a year in custody; in the case of Kamaljeet Singh @ Kamal vs. State of Punjab

passed in CRM-M-4538-2019 on 13.03.2019 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 12 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about nine months in custody and in the case of Kuldeep Singh vs. Union Territory, Chandigarh passed in CRM-M-1400-2018 on 19.01.2018 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 12 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about three months in custody.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Sukhpal Singh, states that although prosecution witnesses have been examined but two of them were recalled for reexamination.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over a year, he is not involved in any other case under the NDPS Act, the judgments of the coordinate Benches and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.10.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No