

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19368-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision-17.12.2019

Saddam

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Monisha Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner-Saddam has filed this petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 21.04.2018 by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mewat in case FIR No.170 dated 01.04.2016 registered under Sections 148, 323, 384, 506, 307 and 302 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Punhana, District Nuh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was involved in other cases as well and this fact was ignored by the trial Court while extending the concession of regular bail to the petitioner on 21.04.2018.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned

counsel for the petitioner that the concession was never put to misuse in any manner. This fact is also not disputed by learned State counsel.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the opinion that the concession of bail was extended on 21.04.2018 and the sole ground raised by learned counsel for the petitioner, is not sufficient for exercise of jurisdiction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C.

In view of the above, no case is made out for cancellation of bail.

Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

17.12.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No