

CRM-M-NO.10646-2019

1

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-NO.10646-2019
Date of Decision: 11.04.2019

Pankaj Sood

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present Mr.Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.C.L.Pawar, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of pre arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.1131 dated 20.09.2017, under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, 2003, registered at Police Station Anti Power Theft, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

This Court passed the following order on 11.03.2019:-

“CRM 8447 of 2019

This is an application for placing on record the copy of receipt dated 27.02.2018 and order dated 03.04.2018 as Annexures P-5 and P-6, respectively.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Documents appended thereto as Annexures P-5 and P-6 are permitted to be taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.
CRM M-10646 of 2019

It has been pointed out that in view of receipt dated 27.02.2018 (Annexure P-5), an amount of Rs.1,21,642/- (One Lac twenty one thousand six hundred and forty two) has already been deposited by the petitioner in favour of Punjab State Power Corporation Limited.

Learned State counsel seeks time to verify the veracity of the

same.

Adjourned to 11.04.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harbans Singh has acknowledged that in terms of the previous order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required in this case.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 11.03.2019 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C and the present petition is disposed off.

11.04.2019
mamta

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No