

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19284-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: April 02, 2019

Pawandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gurbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.689 dated 25.08.2017, under Sections 363 and 366-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Civil Line, Karnal, District Karnal and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.05.2018 (Annexure P-2).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Major Singh son of Angrej Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 13.02.2019, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial court/illaqa magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise entered between the parties is genuine.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner, respondents No.2 and 3, have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed. Consequently, FIR No.689 dated 25.08.2017, under Sections 363 and 366-A IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Line, Karnal, District Karnal and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise stands quashed qua petitioner.

April 02, 2019
m. sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no