

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19253-2018

Date of decision: 09.04.2019

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for respondents No.3 & 4.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.3 & 4 vide order dated 18.04.2018 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar in FIR No.67 dated 27.03.2018 under Sections 406, 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.4, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the anticipatory bail has been obtained by respondents No.3 & 4 by giving incorrect facts and there are serious allegations of cheating of huge amount of Rs.50.00 lacs, for which their custodial interrogation is required.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents

No.3 & 4 have however submitted that subsequent to granting of anticipatory bail, the police has completed the investigation, submitted the challan and even the charges have been framed on 21.01.2019 and the case is now fixed for recording the evidence on 21.04.2019.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the fact that there are no averments in the petition with regard to misuse of concession of anticipatory bail or extending any threat to the witnesses, for which the anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.3 & 4 can be cancelled. Moreover, once the challan has been presented and respondents No.3 & 4 have been granted the concession of regular bail, I find no ground to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to them by the Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

09.04.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No