

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6450 of 2019
Date of decision :27.03.2019

Rahul Kalyan

...Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

The petitioner was working as Data Entry Operator at DC rates since 25.08.2014 till November, 2018 with respondent No. 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, has informed that no junior to the petitioner has been retained.

This petition is being disposed of by giving direction to respondent No. 3 that in case workload is available, preference be given to the petitioner who has served since 25.08.2014 till November, 2018 keeping in view the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in *Hargurpratap Singh V/s. State of Punjab and others, 2007(13) SCC 292* (Annexure P-7). The petitioner is at liberty to give representation to respondent No. 3 with regard to salary due to him in due course of time.

27.03.2019

Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No