

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRR(F)-167-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:20.03.2019

Kailash Nath Kanoongo

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vidya Devi and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

CRM-9825-2019

Prayer in this application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act is for condonation of delay of 46 days in filing the present revision petition.

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed and the delay of 46 days in filing the present revision petition, is hereby condoned.

CRM-9826-2019

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

CRR(F)-167-2019 (O&M)

Petitioner has filed the present revision petition against the order dated 03.11.2018 passed by learned District Judge, Family Court, Bhiwani, whereby on a petition filed by the respondents under Section 125

maintenance @ Rs.8,000/- per month to respondent No.1 towards interim maintenance from the date of filing of the application till final decision of the main petition.

Admittedly, the petitioner was in Govt. Service and retired as a Kanoongo, District Rohtak and is getting pension @ Rs.20,000/- per month from his Department. He is legally and socially bound to maintain his wife and accordingly, considering the fact that his wife has no source of income, the Family Court has awarded Rs.8,000/- per month as maintenance to respondent No.1. Though, no maintenance has been awarded to respondent No.2, who is adopted son of respondent No.1. Apart from the fact that the maintenance awarded to respondent No.1 @ Rs.8,000/- per month is not on higher side and the same being interim in nature, no interference is warranted by this Court in the impugned order dated 03.11.2018 passed by the Family Court.

Dismissed.

March 20, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No