

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19203-2018
Date of decision-27.05.2019

Saddam

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Afzal Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Rubina, Advocate for
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Saddam has filed this petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 03.04.2018 by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mewat in case FIR No.170 dated 01.04.2016 registered under Sections 148, 323, 334, 506, 307 and 302 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Punhana, District Mewat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after getting the concession of regular bail, threats have been extended to the petitioner/complainant and therefore, the concession deserves to be withdrawn. It is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that no such complaint was ever made by the petitioner to the Police regarding the

alleged threats.

It needs to be noticed here that after the grant of concession by the trial Court, no such averment or prayer was made by the complainant before the Court regarding misuse of the concession. This fact is not disputed by learned State counsel.

In view of the above, no case is made out for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2, particularly in the absence of any convincing material for the alleged misuse of the concession of regular bail.

Consequently, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

27.05.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No