

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(133)

CWP-6334-2019

Date of Decision: March 08, 2019

Nachattar Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the medical bills which were submitted by the petitioner, are not be reimbursed by the respondents without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that before the retirement, the petitioner had incurred medical expenses on the treatment of his wife and himself as the wife of the petitioner was suffering from Cancer. He further states the medical bill were submitted by the petitioner in April, 2014 but despite the fact that the bills were submitted within time, no action has been taken by the respondents so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite filing representation, no action has been taken by the respondents for the release of the medical bills, for which the petitioner is entitled for under the relevant rules.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has

served upon the respondents with a legal notice dated 07.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 07.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 07.05.2018 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 07.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 08, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No