

260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1625 of 2019
Date of Decision: 05.04.2019**

HARVINDER KAURPetitioner
Vs
AUTHORIZED OFFICER AND OTHERS
....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Bikramjit Aroura, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

[1]. Petitioner has preferred this revision petition against the order dated 22.02.2019 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Amritsar vide which prayer for further cross-examination of the witness was denied and the evidence of the plaintiff was closed by order of the Court.

[2]. At the time of issuance of notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that examination-in-chief of attorney of the plaintiff namely Loveneet Dutta has already been completed. Even he was partly crossexamined on 13.07.2018. Further cross-examination of the witness could not be done and the evidence was closed by order of the Court.

Learned counsel seeks one last opportunity for cross-examination of PW3 at the hands of the defendants, subject to payment of adequate costs.

Notice of motion for 05.04.2019.

Dasti as well.

Till the next date of hearing, passing of final order shall remain stayed.”

[3]. The examination-in-chief of attorney of the plaintiff namely Loveneet Dutta has already been completed. He was partly cross-examined on 13.07.2018, therefore, it would be just and appropriate to allow one opportunity to the plaintiff to get his witness fully cross-examined by setting aside the impugned order.

[4]. In view of above, this revision petition is allowed. The impugned order dated 22.02.2019 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Amritsar is set aside. One more opportunity is granted for cross-examination of PW-3 at the instance of the defendants on the next date of hearing before the trial Court, however subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- to be paid to the defendants in equal proportion.

[5]. Payment of costs shall be the condition precedent for granting the aforesaid indulgence by the trial Court.

April 05, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No