

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

CRM-M-10548-2019

Date of Decision:08.03.2019

Sunil Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise, but this fact could not be incorporated in the head-note as well as in the prayer clause. He seeks withdrawal of the present petition, however, with liberty to file a fresh one after incorporating the factum of compromise in the head-note as well as in the prayer clause of the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as aforesaid.

March 08, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No