

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. **CRM-M No. 20681 of 2013**  
**Date of Decision:-26.09.2019**

Bani Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Jitender and another

...Respondents

2. **CRM-M No. 39733 of 2013**

Bani Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present:- Mr. R.K. Garg, Advocate.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunny Rawat, Advocate  
for respondent No.1 in CRM-M-20681-2013  
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-39733-2013.

**MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)**

Petitioner Bani Singh (now deceased) had filed the petition  
CRM-M-20681-2013 under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to challenge the order

dated 19.4.2012 passed by the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Rohtak whereby no cognizance was taken on the supplementary report under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. The said order was upheld by the Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak vide order dated 02.2.2013, which was also challenged.

In CRM-M-39733-2013, the petitioner challenges the order dated 19.10.2013 passed by the Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak whereby dismissal of application filed by the petitioner under Section 311 Cr.P.C. for examination of Ravi Kumar by trial Court on 24.7.2013 was upheld.

Learned counsel who had appeared on behalf of Bani Singh has apprised the Court that petitioner Bani Singh expired somewhere in the year 2015.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Dinesh Kumar has apprised the Court that the prosecution has examined its all witnesses and the case is ready for delivering the final judgment.

This fact is not disputed by learned counsel appearing for respondent-Jitender as well.

Considering the above, nothing survives for adjudication in these petitions.

Resultantly, both the petitions are dismissed.

September 26, 2019  
Vijay Asija

( MANOJ BAJAJ )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes / No  
Yes / No