

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(127)

CWP-6299-2019

Date of Decision: March 08, 2019

Kundan Singh Sandhu and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasmeet Singh Ghumman, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioners is that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No.1298 of 2018 has held the appellants therein as well as the similarly situated employees, are entitled for pension.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that though the petitioners have filed the representation claiming the said benefit, no action has been taken by the respondents. The petitioners are seeking the right of mandamus and first rule of mandamus is there should be a claim made in respect for which a right of mandamus is sought.

In the present writ petition, there is no representation which has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners or legal notice issued claiming the said benefit to the petitioners.

In the absence of the same, the present writ petition cannot be entertained.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to

the petitioners to approach the respondents for the redressal of the grievance as being claimed in the present writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

March 08, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No