

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

***C.M No.12598 of 2019
in/and
CWP No.15623 of 2004
Date of Decision: 19.09.2019***

M/s Numdeepmala EnterprisesPetitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and othersRespondents

***CORAM :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL***

Present:- Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent No.3.

Mr. Chetan Salathia, Advocate
for Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for applicant-respondent No.3 submits that the present petition has become infructuous as the petitioner has been allotted an alternate site in July, 2006 and the present petition was filed in the year 2004. Learned counsel also submits that similarly situated persons filed ***CWP No.4835 of 1987*** titled as ***M/s Parveen Gas Agency vs Chandigarh Administration***, having same claim and the said petition was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 04.12.2006.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for respondent No.3, the present petition is also dismissed as having become infructuous.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has

