

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(115)

CWP-6463-2019

Date of Decision: March 11, 2019

Gurcharan Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.K. Viridi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is entitled for the salary of the post for which the petitioner discharged the duties of the higher post.

As per the averments made in the writ petition, the petitioner who was substantively appointed as J.E, was asked to perform the duties of the Sub Divisional Officer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per the settled principle of law, once the petitioner discharging the duties of a higher post, he was entitled for the salary for the said post.

On being pointed out that there is no claim which the petitioner has made for the said relief before the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to raise a claim before the respondents in this regard.

The present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to raise this claim before the respondents by availing appropriate remedy of representation or the legal notice upon the respondents.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

March 11, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No