

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 6088 of 2019

Date of Decision : 07.03.2019

Santosh Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that though there was a vacancy available on 01.01.2019 and the petitioner was the senior most eligible person for promotion to the post of Superintendent Grade-I but no promotion was made and the petitioner superannuated on 28.02.2019.

The grievance is that the petitioner should have been promoted against the vacancy which was available in the cadre of Superintendent Grade-I w.e.f. 01.01.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has made representation dated 29.01.2019 (Annexure P-1) followed by a Reminder dated 26.02.2019 (Annexure P-2) to the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the

respondents to decide the representation by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim prayed in the present writ petition or in the representation, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 29.01.2019 (Annexure P-1) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

March 07, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No