

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(267)

CWP-6125-2019

Date of Decision: September 05, 2019

Tejinder Singh Viridi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the present writ petition, the prayer of the petitioner was not to reduce his last drawn pay, which he was drawing in September, 2015, when he retired and also for quashing of the order dated 25.01.2019 (Annexure P-5) by which the pay of the petitioner was re-fixed.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that in the reply, the respondents have stated that neither the recovery will be effected from the petitioner nor his pension will be reduced and this fact has already been communicated to the petitioner. The relevant paragraph of the reply is as under:-

“2. That the impugned order and instructions do not cause any harm because neither any recovery is to be effected from the petitioner nor his pension is to be reduced and intimation to this effect has already been sent to the petitioner through registered post vide letter no.6275 dated 13.6.2019 by the office of the respondent No.2. Thus the writ petition has been rendered infructuous. True translated copy of the letter is annexured as Annexure RT/1 and vernacular as R/T.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keeping in view the stand taken by the respondents in the reply, the petitioner does not want to press this writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of having been not pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

September 05, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No