

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana  
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-10397-2019 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:- 5.11.2019

Satyapal Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,  
assisted by ASI Ram Raigpat.

Mr. Sahil Gambhir, Advocate, for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

1. Petitioner-Satyapal Sharma, seeks grant of anticipatory bail in a case registered vide FIR No.48, dated 28.4.2018, registered at Police Station Women, Sector 51, Gurugram, District Gurugram, under Sections 498-A, 406, 34 IPC.

2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Ravi Dutt Sharma wherein it has been alleged that marriage of his daughter Shweta Sharma was solemnized on 8.7.2010 with Ashwani Kumar. It is alleged that 10 days prior to the date of marriage, the petitioner raised demand of vehicle, cash of ₹25 lacs and various other articles and while acceding to the said demands a car and an amount of ₹10 lacs and other articles were given to the petitioner at the time of marriage. It is alleged that despite the fact that the aforesaid demands had been met with and various other articles had been given to the accused, the complainant's daughter used to be harassed by them.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case solely on account of the fact that there was some incompatibility between the son of the petitioner and the daughter of the complainant and that all the allegations levelled in the FIR have been cooked up.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that since specific allegations have been levelled against the petitioner, no case for grant of bail is made out. Learned State counsel has however, informed that the petitioner has since joined investigation and in fact challan has already been presented.
5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the trial has already commenced, detention of the petitioner at this stage will not serve any useful purpose. The petition, as such, is accepted and interim directions issued vide order dated 7.3.2019 are

made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall appear before the Trial Court regularly and to abide by any such condition as imposed by the Trial Court for ensuring his appearance regularly in the Trial Court.

**November 5, 2019**

mohan

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No