

214.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

I.

CRM-M-10365-2019

Date of decision:09.04.2019.

AMIT

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

.... Respondents

II.

CRM-M-11700-2019

BALA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Vijarania, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

This order shall dispose of two petitions i.e. **CRM-M-10365-2019** titled as ***Amit Versus State of Haryana and others*** and **CRM-M-11700-2019** titled as ***Bala Versus State of Haryana.***

Prayer in both these petitions filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner (s) in case FIR No.0678 dated 15.10.2018 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498A, 506 and 34 of IPC at Police Station Sadar Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM-M-10365-2019 in Court today, is taken on record.

Vide order dated 07.03.2019, while issuing notice of motion for today in CRM-M-10365-2019, arrest of the petitioner (Amit) was stayed, whereas arrest of the co-accused Bala was stayed by this Court in CRM-M-11700-2019 vide order dated 14.03.2019.

Counsel for the petitioner(s) has argued that during pendency of present petitions, the matter has been compromised between the parties.

However, this fact has not been disputed by the counsel appearing on behalf of complainant (respondents No.2 and 3).

In view of the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties, the present petitions are rendered infructuous and disposed of as such.

However, in case the police finds that the petitioners are required in the case, a prior 7 days notice shall be given to them before initiating any action against them.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

09.04.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.