

Sunita Rani and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Parvesh Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking protection of life and liberty as the petitioners fear that respondent No. 4 (mother of petitioner No. 1) will cause them bodily harm as their marriage has been performed against her wishes.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Petitioners No. 1 and 2 are major and the same is proved by their Aadhar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2).

Without commenting upon the validity of the marriage between petitioners No. 1 and 2, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to ensure that life and liberty of the petitioners is protected by providing them adequate protection after carrying out a threat assessment and as and when deemed appropriate. The representation (Annexure P-4) already made as well as the facts mentioned in this petition shall be kept in mind by him.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

At this stage, Mr. Judgepreet Singh Warring, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of one Partap Singh son of Kartar Singh and submits that his

client is married with petitioner No. 1 and her alleged marriage with petitioner No. 2 is illegal.

The present petition has been filed for grant of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. That is the limited extent, to which relief has been granted to the petitioners. I am not commenting upon the validity of the marriage and Partap Singh son of Kartar Singh would be entitled to initiate appropriate legal proceedings under the law.

March 06, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No