

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.894 of 2019
Date of Decision: 18.07.2019**

Sandeep

Petitioner

Versus

D. Suresh, IAS, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 21.12.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.37976 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition with a direction to the respondents that in case the petitioner submits a representation to them within a period of four weeks from today, they shall consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order within four weeks thereafter. Ordered accordingly.”

Learned counsel for the respondents has filed reply

annexing order dated 11.01.2019.

In view of reply filed, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. Liberty is sought to challenge the order in accordance with law.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty, as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

July 18, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |