

**353 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.714 of 2000
DATE OF DECISION:07.05.2019

Jagir Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

LALIT BATRA, J. (Oral)

As reported by the Registry, notice issued to the petitioner-Jagir Singh has been received back unserved with the report that said Jagir Singh expired in the year 2015. Apart from this it has been reported by the Registry that notice sent to Mr. Ashok Jindal, counsel for private respondent No.5-Veena Kumari, has been received back duly served.

Despite the fact case has been called out twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

It is pertinent to mention here that previously notice was sent to Mr. N. K. Banka, Advocate, who was engaged as counsel by petitioner-Jagir Singh(since deceased) but at present he is working as Deputy Advocate General in the office of Advocate General, Punjab.

In view of the above, since no one has put in appearance on behalf of petitioner-Jagir Singh(since deceased) to proceed further with the instant matter, this writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

CWP No.714 of 2000 :2:

However, it is made clear that in case cause of action, if any, survives in the instant matter, then LR(s) of petitioner-Jagir Singh(since deceased) would be at liberty to proceed further in the instant matter in accordance with law.

**(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE**

07.05.2019

rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No