

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2017-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.09.2019

Ashwani Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Ajay Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

This revision petition is directed against order dated 05.12.2018, passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Hansi, vide which, an application filed by the plaintiffs under Order 12 Rule 2 & 4 for admission and denial of photocopies of the documents was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the revisionists besides going through the record. The operative part of the order runs as follows:-

“Thus, after having heard the arguments advanced by the Ld. counsel for both the parties and after having gone through the case file carefully, this court is of the considered view that the documents qua which reply is sought are photocopies and they are neither certified nor original and admission and denial cannot be made qua photocopies. Moreover, the legal notice does not mention the name of plaintiff rather it was given by counsel in his personal capacity. Thus, how can be seek admission and denial of the contents of that legal notice on behalf of plaintiff of this case. In these circumstances, both these applications are held to be not maintainable and the same are dismissed.”

The order is quite detailed and well reasoned. There is no illegality or infirmity in the order, much less apparent on the face of it, which might have called for interference by this Court, while exercising revisional jurisdiction. Thus, finding no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

05.09.2019

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No