

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 883 of 2019

Date of decision: 19.8.2019

Gobind Lal Chaudhary

.. Petitioner

v.

Rakesh Manocha

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

**Present: Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.**

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 30.11.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No. 27257 of 2017. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Engineer-in-Chief, Department of PWD (B&R), Haryana, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice (P-7) and to decide the same in view of aforesaid judgment (P-4) rendered by this Court as well as order dated March 24, 2017 passed in RACW-146 of 2014 (P-5), that too, by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

Pursuant to the notice of motion, reply by way of affidavit of

Rakesh Manocha, Engineer in Chief, PWD (B&R) Department, Haryana dated 29.4.2019 has been filed annexing two pay orders dated 16.5.2018 and 14.3.2019.

Today, a pay order for an amount of ₹8,01,506/- has been produced in court. Original thereof has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Kshitij Gupta, Assistant District Attorney, O/o Engineer-in-Chief, PWD (B&R) Department, Haryana submits that the entire amount, due according to the respondents, has been paid by way of three pay orders.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that there is a grievance with regard to the amount calculated. He further states that the petitioner had applied for due and drawn statement but the same has not been supplied.

Learned counsel for the State submits that due and drawn statement will be supplied to the petitioner within two weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for redressal of the grievance, if any, liberty be granted to the petitioner to avail remedies in accordance with law.

The contempt petition stands disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

19.8.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

