

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5899 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.12.2019**

Krishan Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioner had filed the instant writ petition raising the following two fold prayer:-

i) *Issue of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the letter dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-5) issued by the respondent No.3, whereby sanctioned grant for the pavement path on the already approved metalled road in the village Hudina.*

ii) *Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.1 and 2 to complete the remaining metalled road from Village Sihma to Chudina via Hudina (upto Rajasthan Boarder) in District Mohindergarh as per the letter dated 10.07.2013 (Annexure P-2) issued by Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. Haryana, Public Works, B&R Department to the respondent No.2-Engineer in Chief, PWD, B&R and letter dated 27.08.2018 (Annexure P-3) and for restraining the respondent no.3 to 5 to construct the pavement path on the already approved metalled road.”*

Counsel for the petitioner submits that insofar as prayer at (i)

hereinabove is concerned, the same has been rendered redundant inasmuch as the sanctioned grant for the pavement path vide letter dated 16.01.2019 Annexure P-5 already stands utilized. Counsel as such confines the scope of the instant petition only qua prayer at (ii) hereinabove.

Learned State counsel submits that the work of completion of the remaining metalled road from the Village Sihma to Chudina via Hudina (upto Rajasthan Boarder) in District Mohindergarh has been held up on account of paucity of funds. He states that as and when funds become available, the necessary and requisite procedure would be followed in the nature of approval etc. being accorded and the work would then be started and completed.

In view of the above no further directions are required to be passed.

Writ petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

December 05, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No