

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6556-2019

Date of decision: 11.3.2019

Kala Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the official respondents to take legal action against respondent Nos. 6 and 7 for misappropriation and misusing the Panchayat funds by them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 3 – Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-4 colly.) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 – Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka to consider and decide the representation

(Annexure P-4 colly.) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

11.3.2019
gsv

[**JITENDRA CHAUHAN**]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No