

**CWP No.14503 of 2004**

**Santosh Sharma and anr. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.**

Present: Mr.L.R.Nandal, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ajay Sharma, DAG, Haryana, alongwith  
Mr.Sunil Kumar Hooda, Clerk  
O/o D.E.E.O, Rohtak

\* \* \* \*

Learned DAG, Haryana, on instructions from Mr.Sunil Kumar Hooda, Clerk in the office of D.E.E.O, Rohtak states that as per record of the respondents no official, junior to petitioner No.1, has been granted the benefit of higher pay and that reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 in this regard has already been placed on the record on 22.04.2019.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the writ petition be disposed of as infructuous with liberty to petitioner No.1 to represent to the concerned authority of the respondents in case it comes to her notice/knowledge that any official junior to her is getting higher pay.

Statements of learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned DAG, Haryana have also been recorded separately to this effect.

Accordingly, writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous with liberty to petitioner No.1 to represent to the concerned authority of the respondents in case it comes to her notice/knowledge that any official junior to her is getting higher pay.

**(R.K.Nehru)**  
**President**

**(B.S.Sidhu)**  
**Member**

**31.05.2019**