

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-17435 of 2018
Date of decision: 27.03.2019**

Chanan Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel. However, learned State counsel has opposed the interim bail on the ground that the recovery has not been effected so far whereas the petitioner was earlier released on regular bail and Section 326 IPC has been added subsequently. So question of recovery cannot be raised at this stage.

Since the petitioner has joined investigation, interim order dated 27.04.2018 passed by this Court in his favour is made absolute.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is directed to join investigation, if required by the Investigating Officer.

**27.03.2019
neetu**

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No