

272/2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-NO.10143 OF 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.04.2019.

Sumesh Chadha

.....Petitioner

versus

B.K.Goel

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present Mr.Rakesh Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate
with Mr.Harsh Garg, Advocate for respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (ORAL)

CRM-10907-2019

CRM is for placing on record the reply on behalf of respondent.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.

Accompanied reply on behalf of respondent is ordered to be taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Registry to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Main Case

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') for quashing of complaint No.339/17 dated 03.01.2017 (Annexure P-2), summoning order dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) as well as order dated 04.02.2019 (Annexure P-5), whereby the application filed by the petitioner under Section 258 Cr.P.C for dropping of proceedings was rejected.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to take recourse to the remedy available to him under law before learned trial Court law.

CRM-M-NO.10143 OF 2019(O&M)

-2-

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

Needless to say that learned trial Court shall afford the opportunity of hearing the arguments of the petitioner.

However, it is clarified that quashing of the summoning order as well as the complaint qua K.K.Chadha @ Krishan Kumar Chadha in CRM-M No. 29077-2017 shall not affect the merits of the case as the same has been allowed on the basis of concession made by learned senior counsel for the respondent.

10.04.2019
mamta

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No