

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17369-2018

Date of Decision: 21.08.2019

Santokh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Bhupinder Pal Kaur Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. SPS Tinna, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Present petition seeks a direction that the sentences awarded to the petitioner by the different courts in different criminal cases be ordered to run concurrently.

It has been noticed that different complaints were filed by different complainants and the petitioner was convicted in those criminal complaints filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881.

This Court has already examined this issue in detail in **CRM-M-8043-2018 (Anil Chanana Vs. Sanjay Kumar)** decided on 21.08.2019.

In the present case, the cheques in question are not from the same transaction and constitute an independent offence. Even the complainants-respondents are different. Hence, it would not be appropriate to exercise the powers under Section 427 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and order all the sentences to run concurrently.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

21.08.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No