

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2019.09.02 12:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**CWP-7901-1996 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.08.2019.**

Tapan Kumar

... Petitioner

vs.

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate, for the respondent-UI.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

On the last date of hearing i.e. 10.07.2019, when the case was taken up, none appeared for the petitioner and Registry was directed to issue fresh notice to the petitioner.

2. Office report reflects that fresh notice was issued to the petitioner on the address given in the memo of parties i.e. Regiment No.880172067 Coy.C, posted at Bhatinda. However, notice could not be served for want of correct army unit number.

3. In any case, the case of the petitioner, herein, is that he was wrongly terminated from service vide order dated 15.05.1996 (Annexure P-1) and, therefore, he would not be currently in service and the question of him being served at the office address given in the memo of parties does not arise.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Union of India submits that he is unable to provide the address of the petitioner, as the petitioner is not in contact with his employer ever-since he has been terminated from the service. Even otherwise, I am of the view that by sheer efflux of time, the petitioner may have lost interest in the writ petition, as after a lapse of more than 23 years, in all likelihood, he would have moved on to greener pastures of life.

5. In the premise, the writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application, if so advised.

27.08.2019
smriti

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No