

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(125)

CWP-7305-2019

Date of Decision: March 18, 2019

Sumer Singh Yadav

.. Petitioner

Versus

UCO Bank Thru Zonal Manager and anr

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Devender Nirban, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the order which has been passed by the respondents is on incorrect facts that the petitioner submitted the TA bills after a delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that actually the petitioner submitted the bills within the time required and the respondents are intentionally taking the duplicate copy submitted by the petitioner to reject his claim on delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner requests that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority of the Bank to apprise the correct facts about the entitlement of the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

March 18, 2019

harsha

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No