

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9837-2019

Date of Decision: 11.03.2019

Inderjit Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

...

**Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner Inderjit Singh has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.01 dated 01.01.2010, registered at Police Station S/SOC/PB Amritsar, District Amritsar, under Sections 21, 23, 25 and 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (later on offence under Sections 465/467/471/474 IPC were added).

Notice of motion has been issued.

Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 26.03.2018, this Court has held that the main accused Pankaj Kumar @ Bobby and Narender Kumar Aggarwal @ Goyal have been granted benefit of regular bail, which means they are the accused from whom 14 kgs of methamphetamine (ice) was recovered. The petitioner has been named by said accused saying that he was helping them. No recovery has been

effected from the present petitioner.

The petitioner has been in custody since 14.11.2014. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case may take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Earlier on 07.08.2018, this court had directed the trial Court to expedite the trial of the case by giving short adjournments, or even by adjourning the case on day to day basis and Investigating Officer of this case and SHO of the concerned police station were also directed to produce the remaining witnesses before the trial Court on the next 2-3 dates. However, it has been brought to the notice of this Court that the trial has not been concluded so far.

Let the report of the trial Court be called for 01.04.2019 as to why order dated 07.08.2019 has not been complied with. Investigating Officer of this case and SHO of the concerned police station are also directed to appear in person to show cause as to why they have not complied with order dated 07.08.2018.

11.03.2019

*Jasmine Kaur*

*Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable*

**(INDERJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE**

*Yes  
No*