

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10033 of 2019

Date of decision: October 16, 2019

Amandeep Singh @ Amandeep Singh Sethi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sourabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.0453 dated 11.09.2017, under Sections 21, 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Civil Line, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

On 06.05.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready to join the proceedings before learned trial Court.

Adjourned to 01.08.2019 for further consideration.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before learned trial Court within one week and in case of his doing so, be admitted on interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and

surety bonds to its satisfaction.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of interim order dated 06.05.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined proceedings before learned trial Court and has also furnished necessary bail/surety bonds. He has also submitted that now the petitioner is appearing regularly before learned trial Court.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ravinder Singh.

In view of the above, order dated 06.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court for conducting smooth trial without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 16, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No