

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
129

CWP-6014-2019

Date of decision: 06.03.2019

MAMTA KUMARI AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

VS.

UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

..RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Jr. Panel Counsel,
for U.T. Chandigarh.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are dependents of deceased Home Guards who were serving the respondents and had applied for appointment on compassionate grounds on the basis of the Standing Order No. 1/2017 Home Guards, U.T., Chandigarh (Annexure P-3). She contends that the petitioners have submitted individual representations putting forth their claim for consideration for appointment on compassionate grounds. The details with regard to the Diary Number and the date of submission of the said representations are given in Annexure P-1. She contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the

Commandant General, Home Guards and Police, U.T., Chandigarh-respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the representations, if so submitted, as also the legal notice dated 14.01.2019 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners within some specified time.

In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Commandant General, Home Guards and Police, U.T., Chandigarh-respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the representations, if so submitted, as also the legal notice dated 14.01.2019 (Annexure P-5) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

March 06, 2019

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No