

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16765-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 25, 2019**

Jaspal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manjit Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have prayed for quashing in FIR No.102 dated 27.05.2016, under Sections 323, 452, 506, 148, 149 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.06.2016 (Annexure P-3).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Ajit Singh son of Sh. Dina Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 20.04.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial court/illqa magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise entered between the parties is genuine, without any pressure or undue influence.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners, respondent No.2, have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed. Consequently, FIR No.102 dated 27.05.2016, under Sections 323, 452, 506, 148, 149 and 427 IPC, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise stands quashed qua petitioners.

March 25, 2019
m. sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no