

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.898 of 1989 (O&M)
Date of decision:29.01.2019**

Balwant Singh (dead) through LRs ... Appellant

Vs.

Raj Singh ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

As per office report, notice issued to appellant (i) has been received back unserved with the report that residing with in-laws, (ii) not found present at the time of service and (iii) not residing at the given address. Appellant (iv) and (v) have been served. However there is no represent on their behalf.

It seems that legal representatives of appellant are not interested in pursuing the matter.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

January 29, 2019
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No