

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10932 of 2019
Date of Decision: 04.12.2019

Sandeep Sehgal

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Ms. Sakshi Saini, Advocate
for Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate
for respondents no. 2 and 3.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 (2) Code of Criminal Procedure for cancellation of anticipatory bail allowed to respondent no. 4-Gurmeet Singh in case bearing FIR No.817 dated 20.11.2014 registered for offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 120-B, 109 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra vide order dated 01.05.2017 passed in CRM-M-4476-2017.

Heard.

The petitioner was allowed anticipatory bail vide order dated 01.05.2017 passed in CRM-M-4476-2017 on the submission of learned State counsel that after investigation the police has recommended filing of cancellation report.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Naresh Kumar

submits that cancellation report is still under process and final report will be filed within four weeks.

The blame for non-filing of final report cannot be put on respondent no. 4. The police is taking time in submitting the final report and this cannot be a reason to cancel the bail allowed to respondent no. 4.

This petition has no merit and the same is dismissed.

December 04, 2019
jk

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No